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Why Host Development?

- Typical application is run and controlled from host-based process (e.g. GNU Radio)
- Setting up heterogeneous processing in a simple fashion requires a lot of software-controlled configuration
Example: Mixing Platforms

- Maintaining transparent modularity requires simple access to block settings, regardless of platform.
- All controls must be available within the GNU Radio process.
- GNU Radio does not control out-of-domain blocks.
What does the Host do?

- Configure connections between blocks
  - Set up flow control, configure stream IDs

- Configure block-specific settings (e.g. FFT size, FIR taps, PLL loop bandwidths...)
  - Map settings bus addresses to human-readable settings

- Initiate streaming for domain boundary crossings
  - Abstract transport type (Ethernet, PCIe, AXI)

- Provide API calls for block-specific operations
  - Direct access to FPGA registers is available, but might not be the nicest way to configure blocks
- Maintains graph representation of active RFNoC blocks within UHD context
- Host-side checking of data type matching
- Easy configuration of block connections
- Commands can be passed on to other RFNoC blocks (e.g. streaming commands)

What does the Host do?
What happens when we call `connect()`?

1. Identify port numbers on source and destination
2. Check stream signatures match (type, vector length etc.)
3. Read destination port address, generate SID, write to source block
4. Read packet size from source block, read input buffer size from destination
5. Set flow control registers on source and destination (depending on transport type between blocks)
RFNoC Stack

GNU Radio Integration
- GRC Bindings (XML)
- Block Code (Python / C++)

UHD Integration
- Block Declaration (XML / NocScript)
- Block Controller (C++)

FPGA Integration
- Verilog / VHDL / CoreGen / IP
Let's walk the Stack: UHD

0. Assume that IP core is ready, tested, and synthesized

GNU Radio Integration

1. Write Block Declaration

2. (Maybe) Write Block Controller Class

3. Interface with GNU Radio Block

4. Export GRC bindings

UHD Integration
Block Declaration

- XML File
- Blocks are identified by their NoC-ID
- Description of block for UHD
  - Argument List (e.g. FFT size)
  - Input- and output ports (data types, vector length, packet size)
  - Settings- and readback registers
- NocScript: Add control code
- Example: FFT Block
NocScript

- Very simple DSL specific to block configuration
- Statically typed, quasi-functional
- Few basic types: Integers, Strings, Doubles, Vectors
- Lots of uppercase and parentheses
- Allows basic access to block arguments and settings registers
- Basic arithmetic and logic operations available
Let's walk the Stack: UHD

0. Assume that IP core is ready, tested, and synthesized

GNU Radio Integration

4. Export GRC bindings

3. Interface with GNU Radio Block

UHD Integration

1. Write Block Declaration

2. (Maybe) Write Block Controller Class
Block Control Classes

- C++ Code
- Provides FPGA access
- Represents block in RFNoC-Graph

- Default Block Control will do vast majority of required tasks
- Own implementation may not be required

- Example: FFT Block
When to write own class?

- Whenever XML + NocScript are not sufficient!
- Complex operations that are easier expressed in C++-Code than XML + NocScript
  - Example: Radio Controls
- Note: Writing custom block controllers requires re-compilation of UHD + your own library, whereas XML + NocScript is interpreted at runtime

- Example: FFT Block
  - Public header
  - Implementation file
Interlude: Lookup Process

- UHD queries NoC-ID Registers on Block
Interlude: Lookup Process

- Query NoC-ID Register on Block
- Look up NoC-ID in XML files
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Interlude: Lookup Process

- Query NoC-ID Register on Block
- Look up NoC-ID in XML files
Interlude: Lookup Process

- Query NoC-ID Register on Block
- Look up NoC-ID in XML files
- Find block controller class in registry
0. Assume that IP core is ready, tested, and synthesized

## GNU Radio Integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Export GRC bindings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Interface with GNU Radio Block</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## UHD Integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Write Block Declaration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>(Maybe) Write Block Controller Class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


gr-uhd & gr-ettus

- gr-uhd: Stable GNU Radio bindings for all UHD products
- gr-ettus: Out-of-tree module for experimental code, subject to change
- As RFNoC matures, code will migrate from gr-ettus to gr-uhd
- gr-ettus will serve as example for OOT projects including RFNoC
- Now, gr-ettus provides examples for GNU Radio / RFNoC blocks
- Available online: http://github.com/EttusResearch/gr-ettus.git
- gr-ettus provides a generic block that handles most cases of RFNoC blocks (gr::ettus::rfnoc_generic)
- In all other cases, derive block from gr::ettus::rfnoc_block to make life easier
  - Example: rfnoc_window_cci has restrictions that RFNoC has not

- Note: RFNoC/GNU Radio blocks hold reference to USRP object, but do not create it (must be generated externally and passed in)
- gr_modtool still works!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GNU Radio Integration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Export GRC bindings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Interface with GNU Radio Block</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UHD Integration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Write Block Declaration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. (Maybe) Write Block Controller Class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0. Assume that IP core is ready, tested, and synthesized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GRC Bindings

- XML File
- Describes GNU Radio Block to GNU Radio Companion
- Using `gr::ettus::rfnoc_generic` does not prohibit writing custom GRC bindings
  - Example: FFT Block
- High similarity to block declaration file: In the future, we might provide a tool to convert one to the other
Conclusion

- RFNoC™ requires some host-side modifications
- Best case, this entails writing 1 or 2 XML files
- Worst case, this also means adding up to 2 C++ files with well-documented and simple APIs
- Design goal of RFNoC is to simplify host-side workload as much as possible
- Tools exist to make it as easy as possible (e.g. gr_modtool)